Incidence of New Diabetic Macular Edema in Fellow Eyes of Patients in the VISTA and VIVID studies Dilsher S. Dhoot, MD

on behalf of the VISTA and VIVID study investigators

California Retina Consultants, Santa Barbara, CA, USA



Presented at the Retina Society 2020 VR meeting; September 21–22, 2020

Disclosures

- Dr Dhoot is a consultant for Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Genentech, Allergan, Alimera, Santen, Allegro, and Notal Vision
- Study disclosures: This study includes research conducted on human patients. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained prior to study initiation
- This study was funded by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Tarrytown, New York). The sponsor participated in the design and conduct of the study, analysis of the data, and preparation of this presentation
- Medical writing support was provided by Prime, Knutsford, UK, according to Good Publication Practice guidelines and was funded by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.



Background



- IAI given q4 weeks or q8 weeks (following 5 monthly doses) significantly improved visual and anatomic outcomes over laser at week 52. These improvements were sustained through week 100 with both IAI regimens
- In an integrated safety analysis, the most frequent serious ocular adverse event at week 100 was cataract (2.4%, 1.0°, and 0.3% for 2q4, 2q8, and control)

BCVA, best corrected visual acuity; DME, diabetic macular edema; DRSS, Diabetic Retinopathy Severity Scale; ETDRS, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; IAI, intravitreal aflibercept injection; OCT, optical coherence tomography.

Objectives

- To characterize occurrence of DME in fellow eye of patients treated for DME in study eye in the VISTA and VIVID studies through year 2
- Following parameters were evaluated:
 - Incidence of DME in fellow eye
 - Time to development of DME in fellow eye
 - Baseline factors predicting DME occurrence in fellow eye
 - Cumulative rates of DME occurrence in fellow eye by various baseline risk factors



Methods

- Inclusion criteria
 - No DME in fellow eye at baseline (from 6 weeks before baseline through 4 weeks after first study eye treatment)
- Presence of DME in fellow eye was evaluated based on related AEs and reported medications (anti-VEGF agents) or procedures (laser) for treatment of DME
- Safety analysis set, observed case
- Statistical analyses included t-test, Kaplan–Meier, Cox proportional hazards model
- Post hoc analysis, *P* value < 0.05 was considered nominally significant



Patient Disposition



Baseline Characteristics

	Laser (n = 252)	IAI 2q4 (n = 245)	IAI 2q8 (n = 258)	Total (n = 755)
Age, mean (SE), years	62.9 (0.5)	62.8 (0.6)	63.6 (0.5)	63.1 (0.3)
Male, n (%)	145 (57.5)	141 (57.6)	151 (58.5)	437 (57.9)
Ethnicity				
Hispanic or Latino	19 (7.5)	30 (12.2)	28 (10.9)	77 (10.2)
Not Hispanic or Latino, n (%)	230 (91.3)	215 (87.8)	230 (89.2)	675 (89.4)
Mean duration of diabetes, years (SE) ^a	16.6 (0.64)	16.0 (0.62)	16.1 (0.64)	16.2 (0.36)
Hemoglobin A1c, Mean (SE), % ^b	7.7 (0.1)	7.9 (0.1)	7.8 (0.1)	7.8 (0.1)
>8%, n (%)	83 (32.9)	95 (38.8)	91 (35.3)	269 (35.6)
Mean study eye BCVA, letters ^a (SE)	60.4 (0.7)	60.0 (0.7)	59.5 (0.7)	59.9 (0.4)
Study eye DRSS score, n (%)				
≤35	11 (4.4)	15 (6.1)	14 (5.4)	40 (5.3)
43	87 (34.5)	71 (29.0)	75 (29.1)	233 (30.9)
47	42 (16.7)	35 (14.3)	50 (19.4)	127 (16.8)
53	68 (27.0)	75 (30.6)	76 (29.5)	219 (29.0)
≥61	11 (4.4)	11 (4.5)	12 (4.7)	34 (4.5)
Missing	33 (13.1)	38 (15.5)	31 (12.0)	102 (13.5)

Data for patients without DME in fellow eye at baseline. ^aLaser (n = 251), IAI 2q4 (n = 244), IAI 2q8 (n = 257), Total (N = 752); ^bLaser (n = 250), IAI 2q4 (n = 241), IAI 2q8 (n = 258), Total (N = 749). SE, standard error.

າ

Medical History

	Laser (n = 252)	IAI 2q4 (n = 245)	IAI 2q8 (n = 258)	Total (n = 755)
Hyperlipidemia, n (%)	54 (21.4)	53 (21.6)	46 (17.8)	153 (20.3)
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	10 (4.0)	8 (3.3)	9 (3.5)	27 (3.6)
Depression, n (%)	36 (14.3)	23 (9.4)	37 (14.3)	96 (12.7)
Anxiety, n (%)	22 (8.7)	10 (4.1)	14 (5.4)	46 (6.1)
Any antidepressants, n (%)	43 (17.1)	41 (16.7)	30 (11.6)	114 (15.1)
Hypertension, n (%)	196 (77.8)	189 (77.1)	211 (81.8)	596 (78.9)
Insulin use, n (%)	173 (68.7)	168 (68.6)	161 (62.4)	502 (66.5)
Smoking history, n (%)				
Never	133 (52.8)	142 (58.0)	151 (58.5)	426 (56.4)
Former	95 (37.7)	71 (29.0)	91 (35.3)	257 (34.0)
Current	24 (9.5)	32 (13.1)	16 (6.2)	72 (9.5)



Proportion of Fellow Eyes That Developed DME Through Week 100



Cumulative Incidence of DME in Fellow Eye



Time to Development of DME in Fellow Eye Through Week 100

	Laser	IAI 2q4	IAI 2q8	AI 2q4+2q8	Total
Patients developed DME	108	110	114	224	332
Mean time to DME development, days (SE)	201 (17)	236 (17)	161 (13)	198 (11)	199 (9)
Min, max (days)	30, 687	31, 687	30, 613	30, 687	30, 687
Mean difference vs laser, days (95% CI)	_	35.0 (–13.1, 83.2)	—40.6 (—82.6, 1.4)	—3.5 (—42.4, 35.5)	—
<i>P</i> value	_	0.1530	0.0581	0.8613	_

Baseline Factors Predicting Development of DME in Fellow Eyes



Univariate Analysis of Baseline Characteristics

Baseline Variables Included in Cox Regression Model

Demographics & Characteristics	Disease Characteristics	Comorbidities	Concomitant Medications
Age Gender Ethnicity BMI Smoking history	Diabetes duration BCVA in study eye DRSS score in study eye CST in study eye	Hypertension Anxiety Depression Dyslipidemia Hyperlipidemia	Antidepressants Insulin

Univariate Analysis of Baseline Characteristics

Baseline Variables Identified as Risk Factors in Cox Regression Model

Demographics & Characteristics	Disease Characteristics	Comorbidities	Concomitant medications
Ace	Dicketee dynation	Hypertension	
Gender	BCVA in study eye	Anxiety	Antidepressants
Ethnicity BMI	DRSS score in study eye	Depression Dyslipidemia	Insulin
Smoking history	CST in study eye	Hyperlipidemia	

Univariate Analysis: Hazard Ratio of DME Development in Fellow Eyes

Baseline variables

CST study eye (per 10 µm increase) Duration of diabetes (per 10 yrs decrease) BCVA study eye (per 10 letters increase) Insulin (yes vs no) Study eye DRSS score: 43 vs ≤35 47 vs ≤35 53 vs ≤35 ≥61 vs ≤35



15

Multivariate Analysis: Hazard Ratio of DME Development in Fellow Eyes



Hazard ratio was estimated using Cox regression model and stepwise selection with baseline CST study eye, baseline duration of diabetes diagnosis, baseline study eye BCVA, baseline insulin use, and baseline DRSS score category as the covariates.

Model was decided by stepwise selection.

Cumulative Incidence of DME Development in Fellow Eye By Baseline Duration of Diabetes



Cumulative Incidence of DME Development in Fellow Eye By Baseline CST in Study Eye



Rates of Fellow Eye DME by Number of Initially Identified Baseline Risk Factors



Number of Risk Factors



Number of baseline risk factor = any combination of (no insulin usage), (study eye DRSS score ≥61), (BCVA in study eye ≤67 letters), (CST in study eye >534 µm), (duration of diabetes ≤20 years).

Limitations

- Post hoc analysis
- DME identified based on AEs and concomitant medications and procedures
- BCVA data was not available for analysis
- No CST data collected for fellow eye



Conclusions



- Almost half of patients with DME in one eye developed DME in fellow eye over 2 years of follow-up
 - -Time to development of DME in fellow eye was approximately 6 months
- Shorter duration of diabetes and thicker baseline CST in the study eye were identified as key risk factors for DME development in fellow eye
- Rate of DME development in fellow eye increased with the increasing numbers of risk factors
- These findings suggest that patients with DME in one eye should be closely monitored for DME development in fellow eye

