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Argus II is a retinal implant that provides artificial/machine vision to those blinded by retinitis pigmentosa.

Evaluating the usefulness of this artificial vision is difficult using Snellen charts; therefore, we used a Visual Function Questionnaire to assess its impact on their quality of life.

Each category assessed, including general health and vision, difficulties with activities, and response to vision problems, was significantly improved with machine/artificial vision (p<0.001)

There were also no negative impacts on quality of life.

In conclusion, our patients’ blind lives are significantly improved after Argus II implantation.
Argus II is a retinal implant that provides artificial/machine vision to those who are blinded by retinitis pigmentosa.
- External camera, video processing unit, 3x5mm electrode array
- LP or NLP in both eyes
- Must be willing and able to follow up and undergo training

While this artificial vision is not an exact replacement for natural sight, patients can recognize shapes and perceive the contrast between light and dark objects.

It’s almost like having a sixth sense but patients have to train how to use it.
PURPOSE

- It is challenging to assess this machine vision using standard measurements.

- Our purpose is to assess the impact this vision has made on our patients’ blind lives.

- Visual function questionnaire (VFQ) to analyze patients’ quality of life pre and post Argus II implantation.
METHODS

- A 25 question National Eye Institute VFQ was administered to 17 patients with mean age of 57 who had received the Argus II implant and completed training.

- Measured the impact of machine vision on overall health, including social wellbeing and dependence on activities of daily living. We also included 13 additional questions to further assess quality of life.

- ANOVA analysis with Bonferroni adjusted p-values compared the graded survey results from pre and post Argus II implantation.
RESULTS

- Each overall category was significantly improved after Argus II implantation.

- Improved perception of vision ($p=0.0005$), ability to find objects ($p=0.002$), function around stairs/curbs ($p=0.002$), and match clothes ($p<0.001$).

- Patients also stated they could accomplish more tasks ($p<0.001$) and were less dependent on others ($p<0.001$).
RESULTS

- Our supplemental questions tried to address activities of daily living not well addressed by the overall VFQ.

- Showed improvement in general vision (p=0.01), outdoor activities (p=0.03) and less limitation in their activities (p=0.01).

- Eye pain, discomfort, worry about eyesight, frustration and embarrassment questions were not significantly different in either group.
The Argus II system is not an exact replacement for natural sight — patients can recognize shapes and perceive the contrast between light and dark objects.

It’s almost like having a sixth sense once you train how to use it.

Patients’ overall quality of blind life is significantly improved after Argus II implantation, which includes activities of daily living and social wellbeing, such as the ability to match clothes.

All three broad categories of the VFQ-25 were improved as well as several individual factors.

Importantly, there were also no negative impacts on quality of life.
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