### Pars plana vitrectomy versus combined pars plana vitrectomy-scleral buckle versus scleral buckle for repair of primary rhegmatogenous retinal detachment

Thomas Wubben, MD, PhD University of Michigan, Kellogg Eye Center



# **Financial Disclosures**

- Wubben
  - Allergan: consulting
- Moinuddin, Chen, Sathrasala, Hwang
  - None
- Johnson
  - Serves on Data and Safety Monitoring Committees for trials sponsored by:
    - Pfizer
    - Syneos Health
    - Amgen
    - Aura Biosciences
  - Clinical trial support from:
    - Apellis
- Zacks
  - ONL Therapeutics: equity, consulting, royalties
- Besirli
  - ONL therapeutics: royalty
  - iRenix Medical: equity, consulting, royalty
  - Janssen: consulting
  - CureVac: consulting

# Summary

- Retrospective, interventional case series from a multi-surgeon, single academic setting between 2011-2019
- Evaluate the anatomical and functional outcomes of PPV vs PPV/SB vs SB in the treatment of primary, noncomplex RRD
- No significant differences in single surgery success rates between PPV, PPV-SB, or SB
- PPV provides excellent results irrespective of lens status, macular involvement, or location of pathology with no added benefit from SB



### Introduction

- Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) is an important cause of vision loss and its incidence is increasing
- Fundamentals for RRD repair using any method:
  - Find the breaks
  - Seal the breaks
  - Plug the breaks
- Recent studies suggest that SB alone or the addition of SB improves outcomes in RRD over PPV alone



### Purpose

 To compare anatomic and visual outcomes in eyes undergoing PPV with eyes undergoing PPV/SB or SB alone performed by a single group of experienced vitreoretinal surgeons at an academic institution



# Methods

- Retrospective review of primary, non-complex, RRD cases that underwent PPV, SB, or PPV/SB
  - 12 vitreoretinal surgeons operating between 2011 and 2019
- Inclusion:
  - Minimum follow up of 3 months
- Exclusion:
  - Trauma, PDR, ROP, sickle cell retinopathy, exudative retinal detachment, myopic traction maculopathy, dialysis, dense cataract, PVR (any grade), endophthalmitis, GRT, posterior staphyloma, choroidal detachment, Stickler syndrome, intraocular malignancy, any history of intraocular surgery except cataract surgery.
  - RRD managed with pneumatic retinopexy, laser barricade, or observation
- Outcomes:
  - Single surgery anatomic success (SSAS)
  - Visual acuity (VA)



# **Results: demographics**

Table 1. Demographics of overall patient cohort and compared by surgical group.						
	<u>Total (N=751)</u>	<u>PPV (N=668)</u>	<u>PPV/SB (N=51)</u>	<u>SB (N=32)</u>		
	N (%) or Mean (±SD)	N (%) or Mean (±SD)	N (%) or Mean (±SD)	N (%) or Mean (±SD)		
Age (years)	55 (±12)	56 (±11)	50 (±14)	33 (±15)		
Male	506 (67%)	452 (68%)	32 (63%)	22 (69%)		
Right Eye	405 (54%)	362 (54%)	22 (43%)	21 (66%)		
Follow up (months)	30 (±24)	32 (±25)	18 (±10)	12 (±6)		

PPV-pars plan vitrectomy; PPV/SB-pars plana vitrectomy in combination with scleral buckle; SB-scleral buckle

	<u>Total</u>	<u>PPV</u>	PPV/SB	SB	
	N (%) or Mean (±SD)				
Size (clock hours)	4.8 (±2.0)	4.8 (±2.0)	5.6 (±2.0)	4.2 (±1.1)	
Hemisphere					
Superior	385 (56%)	348 (57%)	19 (41%)	18 (56%)	
Inferior	222 (32%)	192 (32%)	19 (41%)	11 (34%)	
Equatorial	78 (11%)	67 (11%)	8 (18%)	3 (10%)	
Macula status					
On	335 (45%)	303 (45%)	15 (29%)	17 (53%)	
Off	416 (55%)	365 (55%)	36 (71%)	15 (47%)	
No. of retinal breaks					
1	293 (41%)	263 (41%)	19 (38%)	11 (37%)	
2-4	208 (29%)	189 (30%)	15 (30%)	4 (13%)	
>4	215 (30%)	184 (29%)	16 (32%)	15 (50%)	
Lens status					
Phakic	441 (60%)	378 (58%)	35 (69%)	28 (90%)	
Pseudophakic	295 (40%)	276 (42%)	16 (31%)	3 (10%)	

#### • 751 eyes included

- PPV 89%
- PPV/SB 7%
- SB 4%
- Mean age was 55 years
  - SB: 33 ± 15 years (p<0.001)
- Mean length of post-op follow up was 30 months
- No significant difference in macular status, location, number of breaks, or lens status between PPV and PPV/SB
  - Size of RD was greater in PPV/SB (p=0.009)



# Results: anatomic success

#### • SSAS:

- PPV 91.2%
- PPV/SB 84.3%
- SB 93.8%
- Macula status, inferior retinal breaks, total number of retinal breaks, or lens status had no effect on SSAS within each surgical group
- PPV vs PPV/SB vs SB:
  - No differences in overall SSAS (p=0.27)
  - No difference in SSAS when controlling for presence of inferior breaks (p=0.73)
- PPV SSAS superior to PPV/SB
  - Phakic (92% vs 80%, p=0.02)

Table 3. Anatomic outcomes by surgery.						
	PPV	PPV/SB	<u>SB</u>			
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	P-value		
SSAS						
Overall	609/668 (91%)	43/51 (84%)	30/32 (94%)	0.267		
Macula On	281/303 (93%)	11/15 (73%)	15/17 (88%)	0.026		
Macula Off	328/365 (90%)	32/36 (89%)	15/15 (100%)	0.201		
Inferior breaks	233/262 (89%)	17/20 (85%)	14/15 (93%)	0.732		
Non-inferior breaks	376/406 (93%)	26/31 (84%)	16/17 (94%)	0.283		
Phakic	349/378 (92%)	28/35 (80%)	26/28 (93%)	0.044		
Pseudophakic	248/276 (90%)	15/16 (94%)	3/3 (100%)	0.633		



# Results: visual outcomes

- Overall Cohort
  - No difference in final VA between PPV and SB (P = 0.598)
  - PPV/SB VA was inferior to PPV (p=0.001) and SB (p=0.014)

(p=0.001) and SB $(p=0.014)$	Table 4. Visual outcomes by surgery.				
		PPV	PPV/SB	<u>SB</u>	
		Mean (±SD)	Mean (±SD)	Mean (±SD)	P-value
	Visual Acuity (logMAR)				
<ul> <li>Macular Status</li> </ul>	Overall	0.17 (±0.33)	0.29 (±0.34)	0.14 (±0.30)	p<0.001
• Mac_on: $PP$ //SB < $PP$ // (n=0.013) or	Macula On	0.12 (±0.31)	0.28 (±0.35)	0.09 (±0.29)	p=0.014
SB (p=0.019)	Macula Off	0.22 (±0.32)	0.29 (±0.35)	0.23 (±0.26)	p=0.351
	Inferior breaks	0.18 (±0.35)	0.16 (±0.31)	0.12 (±0.31)	p=0.589
	Non-inferior breaks	0.17 (±0.31)	0.39 (±0.33)	0.17 (±0.29)	p<0.001
Retinal break location	Phakic	0.18 (±0.33)	0.25 (±0.34)	0.13 (±0.29)	p=0.084
Retinal break location	Pseudophakic	0.16 (±0.32)	0.36 (±0.35)	0.18 (±0.33)	p=0.002 (PPV > PPV/SB)

- Lack of inferior pathology: PPV/SB < PPV (p<0.001) or SB (p=0.009)</li>
- Inferior breaks: no difference among groups
- Lens Status
  - Pseudophakic at time of RD: PPV>PPV/SB (p=0.002)



# Strengths and Limitations

- Strengths:
  - Largest single institution, primary RRD case series
  - Longest documented follow-up on surgical management of primary RRD
- Limitations:
  - Retrospective nature of study
  - No randomization or standardization of surgical technique
  - Cases dominated by PPV



### Conclusions

- No significant differences were noted in single surgery success between PPV, PPV/SB, or SB for primary, non-complex RRD
  - PPV alone SSAS was comparable to recently reported PPV/SB SSAS from other groups
- PPV can provide excellent anatomical and functional results irrespective of lens status, macular involvement, or location of pathology with no added benefit from the addition of a SB



# Acknowledgements

- Co-authors:
  - Omar Moinuddin, MD
  - Rebhi Abuzaitoun
  - Xing Chen, MD
  - Sanjana Sathrasala
  - Min W. Hwang
  - Joshua D. Stein, MD, MS
  - Mark W. Johnson, MD
  - David N. Zacks, MD, PhD
  - Cagri G. Besirli, MD, PhD



